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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to investigate the relationships between Knowledge management and social capital and staff empowerment among education personnel in the city of Kohgiluyeh (Iran). The population in the present descriptive-correlational study consisted of all male education personnel in the city of Kohgiluyeh (n=270) in 2014. Using Jersey-Morgan table and stratified random sampling method, 152 people were selected as the study’s samples. The data were collected through the Staff Empowerment the Knowledge management Questionnaire and the Social Capital Questionnaire. The data were analyzed using Pearson Correlation and Multiple Regression Analysis. The results showed significant relationships between Knowledge management and staff empowerment and between social capital and staff empowerment. Among the components of Knowledge management, externalization and understanding legal and economic issues related to the use of information had respectively the highest (0.575) and the lowest (0.490) predictive power in predicting staff empowerment.
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Introduction
A number of management thinkers have contributed to the evolution of knowledge management, among them scientists such as Peter Ducker, Paul Straussman and Petermon in America. Dracker and Strassmann emphasize the growing importance of information and knowledge as organizational resources, and Peter Metter emphasizes organizational learning as an important dimension of KM. Also, Grace Arjears, Bartlett, and Leonardo Barossolin from Harvard Business School have analyzed knowledge management from a range of angles.
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A number of other scholars have revealed other facts about knowledge management. How does Everett Rogers create Stanford University research on innovation and information and technology sharing in the 1970s and 1970s that greatly helps to understand how knowledge management is? How is it used? And how it is used in organizations.

While organizational innovation literature typically has features that are known through heterogeneous theoretical views (Slappandel 1996; Wolf 1994), one of the dominant trends is the phased model theory in which processes Innovation is known as processes that can be divided into separate stages. However, during the decade 1990 The linear model was increasingly questioned.

In the 1980s we saw a widespread development of knowledge-based systems that led to creation
Disciplines such as knowledge engineering and knowledge management systems. Today, KM includes a range of disciplines and technologies such as cognitive science, groupware, library science, document management.

In the mid-1980s, the importance of knowledge as an asset among organizations was raised. In the 1980s, the development of knowledge management systems was introduced that, using works done in the field of artificial intelligence and intelligent systems, introduced new concepts as knowledge engineering, acquired knowledge, knowledge-based systems to the world.

Recently, the term "knowledge management" has been included in the dictionary.
In 1989, in order to prepare knowledge management based on the consortium technology of organizations in the United States, he began work to proclaim his pioneering role in terms of knowledge management as an asset in organizations. Rogels (1998) found out that there are four types of sophisticated knowledge management projects, intranets, databases, decision making tools, and groupware. These are technologies that support collaboration and communication.

The present age is called the age of knowledge economy. The knowledge economy is the result of a more complete understanding of knowledge and technology in economic growth. Knowledge is in human existence and in technology, which is the key to economic development. It has now become clear that the main driving force of knowledge growth. The term knowledge economy is the foundation of this knowledge of the state of knowledge and technology in modern economies.

Today's knowledge is at the heart of the world's economy, and knowledge management is critical to the success of companies (Kellog et al., 2001, 4). The growing attention to knowledge, which is now the key to the success of leading organizations, calls for attention to knowledge management as an almost beginner discipline focusing on organizing the organization's knowledge assets (Carl Wing).

The present age is called the age of knowledge economy. In this period, the attention of managers of organizations has been attracted more to categories such as knowledge and creativity, and after that, knowledge-based people have become
more important than pragmatic people. According to Peter Drucker, the challenge for the first 50 years of the third millennium will be knowledge productivity in organizations (Afraze, 2007), which is not possible with the proper management of knowledge. Knowledge management is recognized as one of the most important tools for achieving organizational agility.

The most important factor in organizations and the entire society is human resources. There is no doubt that the prosperity of any society depends on the improvement of its human resources. Empowerment is a concept that has been proposed in line with the development of human resources. Transferring more authority and responsibility to staffs is the common feature of all definitions proposed for the concept of empowerment (Ebrahimi, 2011, p: 5). Today’s organizations are affected by factors such as increasing global competition, rapid changes, the need for quality and after-sale services and limited resources. After years of experience, people have come to the conclusion that organizational success depends on the availability of expert, creative and motivated human resources. Human resources are the real assets of an organization. One of the major concerns of successful organizations is recruiting intelligent and educated human resources who are able to make organizational changes. Appropriate use of human resource potential is a huge advantage in any organization. An organization uses a series of staffs’ talents and skills in organizational development. The activation of human resources’ potential and capabilities leads to the development of staffs and organizations. Therefore, effective management of human resources is necessary in order to achieve organizational objectives. In this context, the growth, development, prosperity and improvement of staffs’ capabilities (recently referred to as staff empowerment) are of interest to scholars and experts in the field of human resources management. Empowerment is a new and effective technique to improve organizational efficiency by taking advantage of staffs’ capabilities. Due to their Knowledge management, experience and motivations, staffs have a potential power which can be activated by the process of empowerment. This technique activates potential sources of human capacities and proposes a balanced way between being completely controlled by the management and being completely free (Deniss, 2005). Empowerment has two important organizational benefits: 1) empowered staffs are more motivated and committed to meet organizational challenges; 2) many of the challenges faced by human resources management are rooted in staffs’ powerlessness (Hashemi & Pour Amin Zad, 2011).

Scott and Jaffe (1991) stated that ‘empowerment is a fundamentally different way of working with each other’. This means that people feel that they are responsible not only for doing their own tasks, but also for improving the whole organization. Moreover, work teams have to continuously work together to improve their performance and achieve higher levels of productivity (Iran Zadeh, 2010). Bowen and Lawler (1992) defined empowerment as staffs’ involvement in four organizational elements of information, bonuses, decision-making and Knowledge
management. Foy (1997) believed that empowerment is the distribution of decision-making power among those who don’t have it. With reference to the above mentioned issues, it can be concluded that managers can empower staffs if organizational information is accessible to them, organizational structure is modernized, hierarchy is replaced by work teams and educational opportunities are provided for all staffs (they are all parts of the empowerment process) (Nave Ibrahim & Abdollahi, 2006). Other authors have defined empowerment based on their beliefs and attitudes towards the role of staffs in the organizations. Conger and Kanungo (1988) believed that empowerment is the development of an increasing sense of self-efficacy in people through the identification and elimination of sources of their powerlessness. In a study entitled ‘the empowerment process: the unity of theory and practice’, they defined empowerment as a motivational approach focusing on people’s capabilities (not authority). Therefore, staff empowerment refers to the process of increasing staffs’ self-efficacy through the identification and elimination of sources of their weaknesses.

**Table 1. Historical development of the concept of empowerment (Nave Ibrahim & Abdollahi, 2006)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>Concepts related to empowerment</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950s</td>
<td>Human relationships</td>
<td>Managers have friendly relationships with staffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960s</td>
<td>Sensitivity training</td>
<td>Managers are sensitive to staffs’ needs and motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970s</td>
<td>Staff participation</td>
<td>Managers involve staffs in decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>Total Quality Management</td>
<td>Managers emphasize teamwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>Staff empowerment</td>
<td>Managers help staffs activate their potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the variables affecting staff empowerment is Knowledge management. In the present age of information, externalization is an essential skill for everyone. This skill is referred to as Knowledge management. Knowledge management is a set of abilities enabling people to recognize when information is needed; these abilities help people locate, evaluate and effectively use the needed information (Mirza Safi, et al., 2011). Quinn (2005) stated a number of factors affecting staff empowerment and focused on three factors of providing good information, providing the necessary resources and communication with the outside. These three factors can have a decisive influence on the process of empowerment only through the application of Information Technology (IT) (Knowledge management
is one of the aspects of IT). Lak and Javadian (2011) concluded that IT infrastructures can be influential in police forces empowerment. They mentioned increasing speed and accuracy, reducing physical size of data sources, eliminating redundant administrative processes, enabling remote collaboration and reducing costs of police organization as issues resulting from the empowerment of police forces. Kamalian, Salar Zehi and Oliaei (2013) showed that there is a significant positive relationship between IT application and staff empowerment and that IT application can explain %41 of the variance in staff empowerment. The term ‘Knowledge management’ was coined by Paul Zurkowski in 1974.

In a report to the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science, he explained the objectives of achieving Knowledge management. He believed that a person has Knowledge management if s/he is trained in using information resources and able to solve problems by using information appropriately (Hall, 2008). Jami (2009) defined Knowledge management as effective performance of people in an information society. This definition includes critical thinking, awareness of personal and professional ethics, interaction with professionals and internalization to solve problems and make decisions. The Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) (2003) determined a set of standards to measure Knowledge management. These standards include 5 main components: 1) Externalization; 2) Socialization; 3) Combination; 4) Internalization; and 5) Understanding legal and economic issues related to the use of information. In a study entitled ‘A needs analysis for Knowledge management provision for research: a case study in University College Dublin’, Patterson (2009) tried to establish Knowledge management among graduate students and found that most of the examined students faced problems, such as lack of self-confidence in understanding research questions and using advanced search techniques. Computer literacy is needed to read and write information. In fact, without computer literacy, it is impossible to achieve Knowledge management. In a study entitled ‘The relationship between Knowledge management and entrepreneurial capabilities of graduate students of Isfahan University’, Mirza Safi and colleagues (2011) found a significant relationship between Knowledge management and entrepreneurial capabilities of graduate students (R=0.686). They also showed that entrepreneurial capabilities have the highest and the lowest correlations with Combination (R=0.686) and Internalization (0.578) respectively.

Another factor that can play a role in staff empowerment is social capital. Generally, economic development is based on natural capital, physical capital or production and human capital which are all known as national assets. It has been recently found that these three types of national assets can only determine a part of the process of economic development because economic development is mostly achieved through the interactions between economic actors. Therefore, to solve problems between countries with equal status, social capital can be considered (Kiamarsi & Momeni, 2013). Social capital refers to the ability of people to work together in order to achieve common goals in different groups and organizations. Social capital can also be considered as the result of mutual trust, reciprocal social
interaction, social groups, a sense of collective identity, having a shared picture of
the future and teamwork in a social system (Pour Kiani & Bahrami Nejad, 2012).
Danchev (2006) defined social capital as network structures developed based on
trust and informal relationships between organizational members. Trust,
expectations and mutual commitments make informal mechanisms that help
people understand and achieve their objectives more easily. Keshavarzi, Hoseini,
Heidari Nasab and Amadeh (2011) conducted a study on the relationship between
social capital and staff psychological empowerment and concluded that there are
significant relationships between structural, cognitive and relational dimensions
of social capital and psychological empowerment of staffs. They also reported the
highest correlation between relational dimension of social capital and
psychological empowerment. Accordingly, the present study was conducted to
examine the relationships between Knowledge management and staff
empowerment and between social capital and staff empowerment among
education personnel in the city of Kohgiluyeh (Iran).

Methodology
Regarding objectives, the present study was an applied research and concerning
methodology, it was a descriptive-correlational study. The population in the
present study consisted of all male education personnel in the city of Kohgiluyeh
(n=270) in 2014. Using Jersey-Morgan table and stratified random sampling
method, 152 people were selected as the study’s samples. The data were analyzed
using Pearson Correlation and Multiple Regression Analysis.

Instruments
The Staff Empowerment Questionnaire (EEQ)
The EEQ was developed by Short and Reinhardt in 1995. This 38-item
questionnaire measures six dimensions of respect, professional growth, self-
efficacy, decision-making and self-determination. The EEQ is scored on a 5-point
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. Using Cronbach’s alpha, the reliability of this
questionnaire was determined in the present study (0.78).

The Knowledge management Questionnaire (KQ)
The ILQ was developed by Mirza Safi and colleagues (2011) based on the
components of Knowledge management set by the American Association of School
Librarians (AASL). This 35-item questionnaire is scored on a 5-point Likert scale.
The ILQ measures five dimensions of Internalization, Socialization,
Externalization, Combination, and Understanding legal and economic issues
related to the use of information. In the present study, a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.87
was determined for this questionnaire. Similarly, Mirza Safi and colleagues
(2011) reported a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.85 for the questionnaire.

The Social Capital Questionnaire (SCQ)
The SCQ, developed by Ownegh (2005), measures seven dimensions of networks, trust, cooperation, mutual understanding, relations, values and commitment. This 28-item questionnaire is scored on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Ownegh determined the reliability of this questionnaire by using Cronbach’s alpha (0.93). In the present study, a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.83 was determined for the questionnaire.

**Results**

Using Pearson Correlation test, significant relationships were observed between Knowledge management and staff empowerment and between social capital and staff empowerment.

**Table 2. Correlation coefficients between Knowledge management and its dimensions and staff empowerment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Empowerment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge management</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialization</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalization</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalization</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Management Situation</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in table (2), there was a significant relationship between Knowledge management and staff empowerment (R=0.697). There were also significant positive relationships between the five components of Knowledge management and staff empowerment. Accordingly, among the components of Knowledge management, effective access to Knowledge had the highest correlation (R=0.575) and Knowledge Management Situation had the lowest correlation with staff empowerment (R=0.496). Correlation coefficients between other components of Knowledge management and staff empowerment were as follows: Determination of the extent and nature of Knowledge (R=0.548), Effective use of Knowledge (R=0.544) and Evaluation of Knowledge critically (R=0.510).

**Table 3. Multiple regression analysis between the components of Knowledge management and staff empowerment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non standardized coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
According to table (3), the best predictors of staff empowerment were Effective access to Knowledge, Determination of the extent and nature of Knowledge, Effective use of Knowledge and Evaluation of Knowledge critically. Among the components of Knowledge management, Knowledge Management Situation was not significant in the regression equation indicating that this component is unable to predict staff empowerment.

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between social capital and its components and staff empowerment
As shown in table (4), there was a significant relationship between social capital and staff empowerment (R=0.65). The coefficient of determination (0.47) showed that the variance of social capital is common with the variance of staff empowerment. There were also significant positive relationships between the seven components of social capital and staff empowerment. Accordingly, among the components of social capital, trust had the highest correlation (R=0.590) and commitment had the lowest correlation with staff empowerment (R=0.498). Correlation coefficients between other components of social capital and staff empowerment were as follows: Networks (R=0.581), Cooperation (R=0.541), Relations (R=0.545), Values (R=0.511) and Mutual understanding (R=0.524).

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis between the components of social capital and staff empowerment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non standardized coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>Sig. P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First step</td>
<td>Constant value</td>
<td>75.33</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second step</td>
<td>Constant value</td>
<td>52.56</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>6.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Networks</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third step</td>
<td>Constant value</td>
<td>47.75</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Networks</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relations</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth step</td>
<td>Constant value</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Networks</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relations</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperation</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to table (5), the best predictors of staff empowerment were Trust, Networks, Relations and Cooperation. Among the components of social capital, Mutual understanding, Values and Commitment were not significant in the regression equation indicating that these components are unable to predict staff empowerment.

**Discussion and conclusion**

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationships between Knowledge management and social capital and staff empowerment among education personnel in the city of Kohgiluyeh (Iran).

The obtained correlation coefficient showed a significant relationship between Knowledge management and staff empowerment. Accordingly, with the increase of Knowledge management, staffs become more empowered to perform their tasks. This finding was in line with the results of other studies conducted by Lak and Javadian (2011), Kamalian, Salar Zehi and Oliaei (2013) and Mirza Safi and colleagues (2011). They concluded that the development of IT infrastructures in the process of staff empowerment can lead to increased speed and accuracy, reducing physical size of data sources, eliminating redundant administrative processes, enabling remote collaboration and reducing costs of the organization. Moreover, they showed that there is a significant positive relationship between IT application and staff empowerment and that IT application can explain %41 of the variance in staff empowerment.

Considering the extensive changes occurred in different aspects of staffs’ work life and the phenomenon of globalization, organizations must focus on empowering their staffs and take the necessary measures to increase their competitiveness. Today's organizations must design their programs appropriately so that they can take advantage of their staffs' skills and potential to achieve organizational objectives. Therefore, managers can develop their organizations by empowering their staffs. According to the results of this study, Knowledge management provides a fertile ground for enhancing staffs’ capabilities in various fields. Knowledge management includes the skills needed by organizational members to perform their everyday tasks (Nik Pour, Tavakoli & Rajaei Nejad, 2011). These skills help staffs perform their tasks appropriately, align themselves with various internal and external changes, and become creative. The education organization, as an important institution, must pay more attention to its staffs' levels of Knowledge management in order to empower them.

The obtained correlation coefficients and the results of regression analysis showed that among the components of Knowledge management, Effective access to Knowledge has the highest correlation with staff empowerment and therefore, is the best predictor of empowerment. These findings were in line with the results of a study conducted by Ali Ahmadi, Ahmadi and Hatami Naghani (2010). To explain these findings, it can be stated that staffs need to obtain the needed Knowledge from different channels including internet, libraries, consultation and
participation in various seminars relevant to their jobs. Managers should provide their staffs with the necessary facilities to obtain the needed knowledge.

The results indicated a significant relationship between social capital and staff empowerment. Accordingly, higher levels of trust, relations, commitment and other components of social capital make staffs more empowered. This finding was in line with the results of a study conducted by Keshavarzi, Hoseini, Heidari Nasab and Amadeh (2012). In a study entitled ‘the relationship between social capital and psychological empowerment (case study: Qom Governor), these researchers found significant relationships between structural, cognitive and relational dimensions of social capital and psychological empowerment of staffs (the highest correlation was found between the relational dimension of social capital and psychological empowerment). Social capital is a new concept that has been recently raised in social and economic studies in modern societies. This concept refers to the critical links and communication among members of a network that leads to the realization of organizational goals by creating norms and mutual trust. The presence of this subject in many social debates indicates the importance of social capital in social development, especially educational social development (Nazok Tabar & Veisi, 2008).

The results also showed significant relationships between components of social capital and staff empowerment in line with the results of studies conducted by Ali Ahmadi and colleagues (2010) and Grinson (2011). Ali Ahmadi and colleagues (2010) conducted a study on the directors of Caravans for the Hajj pilgrimage and found that those directors can be highly empowered by changing their beliefs, values, symbols and skills (technical, humanitarian and perception).

Spritzer (1995) mentioned factors affecting staff empowerment as follows:
1) Personal factors: education, work experience, gender, race, locus of control and self-esteem;
2) Collective factors: group effectiveness, group importance, intragroup trust, understanding the group impact on managers
3) Organizational factors: role ambiguity, locus of control, access to knowledge, political-social support, one’s place in organizational hierarchy, collaborative work unit (Ali Ahmadi, et al., 2010).

In this study, the results indicated relationships between trust, cooperation, relations and group importance which were in line with the collective and organizational factors stated by Spritzer (1995).

According to Spritzer (1995), intragroup trust, collaborative atmosphere and group importance affect staff empowerment. A rich social capital leads to the prosperity of society and people living in that society; thus, one of the responsibilities of education personnel is to obtain and increase social capital. To be successful, education personnel should design a particular pattern of social capital by considering its dimensions and components. Social relationships positively affect staff empowerment. Considering Mayo’s studies in human relationships, it must be noted that organizational efficiency is affected by staffs’ relationships with each other and informal communication networks rather than
by physiological features of the work environment. Since trust is the most important component of social capital, organizations should create it by using staffs’ views in decision-making situations, helping them promote occupationally and considering bonuses for them.

Social capital improves Knowledge flow and increases trust, harmony and organizational stability to achieve organizational goals. The increase of staffs’ Knowledge management helps them obtain the needed Knowledge from different channels and leads to the elimination of redundant processes and reduction of organizational costs. Moreover, high levels of Knowledge management cause inter-organizational competitions. Therefore, to achieve organizational goals, managers must invest in and pay attention to staffs’ empowerment by increasing their Knowledge management and enhancing their social capital.

According to the results of this study, the following recommendations are made:

- It is recommended to conduct similar studies on the relationships between staff empowerment and other variables (e.g. E-learning and Computer self-efficacy).
- The Education Organization is required to provide the needed facilities for its staffs for having access to Knowledge.
- Given that staff empowerment is highly associated with trust, higher levels of mutual trust must be built between staffs and organizational executives by transferring responsibility and authority to lower level staffs.
- It is also recommended to develop multiple channels of communication between staffs.
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