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ABSTRACT
Today, organizations are faced with many environmental changes. The changes occur so rapidly that if organizations are not prepared for dealing with them, their survival will endanger. One of the new tools that help organizations in today’s dynamic environment to have an appropriate situation is the use of social intelligence. Present study aimed to evaluate effect of business intelligence on innovative performance of employees of Moghan Agro-Industrial & Livestock Company (MAILC) during 2014. The research’s population included 450 employees from MAILC, which according to the Morgan table, 205 employees were selected. In this study, two standard questionnaires of social intelligence and innovative performance were used and their reliability was confirmed by Cronbach’s alpha test. The analysis of data was conducted using SPSS. Before testing the hypotheses, first we used Kolmogorov test to check the normality of data, which according to the results their normality was approved. The results of linear regression test showed that social intelligence has significant effect on innovative performance. The results of testing sub-hypotheses showed that all the four dimensions of social intelligence, i.e. social skills, social awareness, data processing and social desirability, have significant effects on innovative performance. Finally, some suggestions are presented based on results obtained from testing the hypotheses.
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INTRODUCTION
Today, organizations to change their business environment and to maintain their competitiveness do many efforts (Nasiri et al., 2014). Innovation is known as a new way for creating value and can be resembled to the blood in the vessels of organizations attempting for their growth and survival as well as development of their products and services. Innovation has critical role in acquiring competitive advantage and long-term success of companies (Seresh, Hashemi, & Kamal, 2012). Countries around the world relying on innovation attempt to increase the productivity and improve their economic situation. One of its main reasons is growing competition between communities. Flexibility and rapid response to the changing environment, better use of human resources and their knowledge as well as taking better business decisions are among the achievements of management for today’s organizations (Mirfakhruddini, Nasab, Hassan, Taleifar, & Kanjavfar, 2010). Innovation is accepting a new mentality or approach that for being effective must be distributed in all parts
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of company so that everyone could use it. One of the important characteristics of innovation in 21st century is that it is less related to a company. For many reasons, innovation is a multiplayer game that its players in organizations with different shapes and sizes work together in networks. They may be local groups, supply chain of partners of product development or strategic unions, which help competitors and customers in temporary cooperation in an attempt to use new technology (Bigiardi & Ivo Dormio, 2009; Davoudi et al., 2018). The personalities of individuals in life take form based on hidden emotional talent and not IQ. What we know for sure about great and successful leaders of organizations is that they are not necessarily the smartest person in organization in terms of IQ, but rather they have higher emotional intelligence than others do, and this way move people towards the way they want. It should be noted that the secret of their influence on people is the same thing (Marjani, 2013; Fartash et al., 2018).

Leadership and management of organization for adapting with changes and for the growth and survival in new environments need special features, which managers generally have many problems in responding to them. One of the main features that could help leaders and managers in responding to these changes is social intelligence. Social Intelligence is a factor affecting people’s satisfaction (Mokhtaripoor & Siyadat, 2005; Tastan & Davoudi, 2015a).

It is certain that success of organizations and managers always depends on a number of key factors and identification and promotion of these factors result in further success of organizations. Managers in any organization seek to coordinate and increase the efficiency of organization’s employees and achieve organizational goals. It is clear that the way that managers handle the organization and their performance determine the recession or social development of the organization (Rezai & Khalilzadeh, 2009). People do not act similarly in the same social situations. These individual differences in psychological literature refer to the concept of social intelligence (Rezai, 2010). For a strong and effective relationship with others, those having high social intelligence should be able to use the full power of their brain and body (Rezai & Khalilzadeh, 2009). Carl Albert defines the social intelligence simply as the ability of unanimity with others and encouraging them to work with us (Beikzadeh, Beigzadeh, & Sultan Dadashi, 2010; Tastan & Davoudi, 2015b). Business intelligence as kind of intelligence, which first proposed by Thorndike, has been considered largely in recent years. It shows individual capabilities that lead to better agreement with people and social relationships (Ebrahim, 2008). Intelligence is the cause of our success in social relationships and it can be grown during childhood and even in adulthood (Hajizadeh, 2008; Tastan & Davoudi, 2017). Innovation is a critical factor in organizations for creating value and sustainable competitive advantage in today's complex and changing environment.

Those organizations having more innovation in responding to the changing environments and in developing new capabilities, which provide them with better performance, are more successful. Innovation in social initiatives is considerably dependent to the expertise and intelligence of staff (Boroomand & Ranjbar, 2009). In this study, we seek to answer the following questions: Is social intelligence effective on innovative performance in MAILC or not? If yes, to what extent and intensity is of the impact?

**METHODOLOGY**

A. Methodology: The research in terms of purpose is applied and in terms of methodology is descriptive-survey and of the correlation type.

B. Population: The research’s population included all managers, experts and employees that have a degree of Diploma or higher and were working in MAILC, which included to 450 individual is 2014, as is shown in the table below.

C. Sample size and sampling: to determine the sample size, the Morgan Table was used. According to the table, the sample size was equal to 205 persons.

D. Measurement and data collection tools: the field data were collected by using the following two questionnaires:

Social Intelligence questionnaire: to measure this variable the standard questionnaire proposed by Silvera et al. (2001), including 29 questions, was used. The questionnaire has four aspects including information processing, social skills, social awareness and social desirability and it has a Likert scale (Silvera et al., 2001).
Innovative performance questionnaire: to measure this variable, a standard questionnaire with 10 questions and three dimensions of innovation in production, innovation in process and administrative was used (Jimenez et al., 2008).

E. Validity and reliability: validity checks whether the measurement tool is able to measure the intended characteristic and feature or not. To determine the content validity of the questionnaire, it was given to a number of experts and professors of Management and Behavioral Sciences and their opinions about the questions and hypotheses were requested, which resulted in the confirmation of the questionnaire’s validity. To examine the reliability of the questionnaire, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was calculated for the dependent and each of the independent variables of the study, which was greater than 0.70 for all of them.

F. Data analysis method: in this study, SPSS was used for the extraction of information and the analysis of data.

**FINDINGS**

To investigate the effect of social intelligence on innovative performance, the linear regression analysis was used, which its results are represented in the following tables.

As shown in Table 1, the significance level of the test is equal to 0.000, thus, it can be argued that the above test with a confidence level of 0.95 is significant. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is confirmed and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficient of determination, i.e. R2, which is the ratio of the explained changes by variable x to the total changes, is equal to 0.733. Therefore, it can be said that 73% of changes in innovative performance is explained by changes in social intelligence.

According to Table 2, the mathematical relationship of the impact of social intelligence on innovative performance is as follows:

\[ Y = 0.353X \]

It can be said that one unit increase in social intelligence causes 0.353 unit increase in innovative performance of employees of MAILC. Therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model of the test is statistically significant.

To evaluate the effect of social skills on innovative performance, the linear regression test was used and its results are presented in the following tables.

As shown in Table 3, the significance level of the test is equal to 0.000, thus, it can be argued that the above test with a confidence level of 0.95 is significant. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is confirmed and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficient of determination, i.e. R2, which is the ratio of the explained changes by variable x to the total changes, is equal to 0.636. Therefore, it can be said that 63% of changes in innovative performance is explained by changes in social skills.

### Table 1. The variance analysis of the regression model for variables social intelligence and innovative performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Coefficient of determination (R²)</th>
<th>Adjusted coefficient of determination</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Parameter coefficients of the main hypothesis for the variable of Social Intelligence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>T-test</th>
<th>Significance level</th>
<th>Test result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>Acceptance of H0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Intelligence</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>23.597</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Rejection of H0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

Table 2.
According to Table 4, the mathematical relationship of the impact of social skills on innovative performance is as follows:

\[ Y = 0.831 \times + 19.754 \]

It can be said that one unit increase in social skills causes 0.831 unit increase in innovative performance of employees of MAILC. Therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model of the test is statistically significant.

To evaluate the effect of social awareness on innovative performance, the linear regression test was used, which its results are presented in the following tables.

As shown in Table 5, the significance level of the test is equal to 0.000, thus, it can be argued that the above test with a confidence level of 0.95 is significant. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is confirmed and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficient of determination, i.e. R², which is the ratio of the explained changes by variable x to the total changes, is equal to 0.578. Therefore, it can be said that 57% of changes in innovative performance is explained by changes in social awareness.

According to Table 6, the mathematical relationship of the impact of social awareness on innovative performance is as follows:

\[ Y = 1.319 \times \]

It can be said that one unit increase in social awareness causes 1.319 units increase in innovative performance of employees of MAILC. Therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model of the test is statistically significant.

To evaluate the effect of data processing on innovative performance, the linear regression test was used, which its results are presented in the following tables.
As shown in Table 7, the significance level of the test is equal to 0.000, thus, it can be argued that the above test with a confidence level of 0.95 is significant. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is confirmed and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficient of determination, i.e. R², which is the ratio of the explained changes by variable x to the total changes, is equal to 0.609. Therefore, it can be said that 60% of changes in innovative performance is explained by changes in data processing.

According to Table 8, the mathematical relationship of the impact of data processing on innovative performance is as follows:

\[ Y = 1.107X + 9.161 \]

It can be said that one unit increase in data processing causes 1.107 units increase in innovative performance of employees of MAILC. Therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model of the test is statistically significant.

To evaluate the effect of social desirability on innovative performance, the linear regression test was used, which its results are presented in the following tables.

As shown in Table 9, the significance level of the test is equal to 0.000, thus, it can be argued that the above test with a confidence level of 0.95 is significant. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is confirmed and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficient of determination, i.e. R², which is the ratio of the explained changes by variable x to the total changes, is equal to 0.183. Therefore, it can be said that 18% of changes in innovative performance is explained by changes in social desirability.

According to Table 10, the mathematical relationship of the impact of social desirability on innovative performance is as follows:

\[ Y = 0.575X + 17.979 \]
It can be said that one unit increase in social desirability causes 0.575 unit increase in innovative performance of employees of MAILC. Therefore, it can be concluded that the regression model of the test is statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Since organizations increasingly become larger and emerge in new forms, consideration of interpersonal social intelligence is of great importance, because it increases the efficiency of organization. Today, there are many evidences showing that some organizations such as the mass media, education organizations and the like organizations lack appropriate performance and face many problems because of the lack of attention to these issues. With the economic development of countries, the possibility of equal access to the global markets, rapid trend of technological changes, shortening of the life cycle of production and in general the growth of knowledge importance, the ability of innovation is being considered as an essential determinant factor in international competition. In developed countries, the competitive advantage stems from factors such as sustainable ability in the creation of innovation and commercialization of production as well as new processes. Gradually, the ability of innovation was issued as vital prerequisite for the development of enterprises, entrepreneurship and concepts such as innovation policy and system, which widely has attracted the attention of policy makers throughout the world. The results of our study is consistent with that of Solgi and Alipoor (2012) that had estimated social intelligence among students at a high level, similar to our study that showed relatively high average for social intelligence among employees of MAILC. Our results are also consistent with results of Rasooli (2009) that found a significant correlation between emotional intelligence and innovative performance. Overall, it can be concluded that the social intelligence of people increases the innovative performance.

**Research Suggestions**

1. Managers must attempt to understand the strength and weakness points of their social relationships to strengthen their strengths, resolve their weaknesses, gain enough popularity and finally strengthen innovative performance among staff.
2. Attempt for establishing intimate relationships with co-workers and finding ways to improve communication techniques
3. Enterprise to maintain itself in the competitive environment and society must turn itself from a follower company to a leading company that provides higher customer satisfaction and better meeting the needs.
4. Advising people to keep their calm and avoiding violence in the face with other staffs.
5. Avoiding undesirable behaviors in the workplace
6. Promoting the spirit of collective instead of individualism and the creation and strengthening the common identity among employees
7. Intimate relationship with strangers in the workplace
8. Giving more importance to the workforce in company
9. Increasing new tools in company’s environment
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